Research Article

Strong Convergence Theorems of a New General Iterative Process with Meir-Keeler Contractions for a Countable Family of λ_i -Strict Pseudocontractions in *q*-Uniformly Smooth Banach Spaces

Yanlai Song and Changsong Hu

Department of Mathematics, Hubei Normal University, Huangshi 435002, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Yanlai Song, songyanlai2009@163.com

Received 9 August 2010; Revised 2 October 2010; Accepted 14 November 2010

Academic Editor: Mohamed Amine Khamsi

Copyright © 2010 Y. Song and C. Hu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new iterative scheme with Meir-Keeler contractions for strict pseudocontractions in q-uniformly smooth Banach spaces. We also discuss the strong convergence theorems for the new iterative scheme in q-uniformly smooth Banach space. Our results improve and extend the corresponding results announced by many others.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we denote by E and E^* a real Banach space and the dual space of E, respectively. Let C be a subset of E, and lrt T be a non-self-mapping of C. We use F(T) to denote the set of fixed points of T.

The norm of a Banach space *E* is said to be Gâteaux differentiable if the limit

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\|x + ty\| - \|x\|}{t} \tag{1.1}$$

exists for all x, y on the unit sphere $S(E) = \{x \in E : ||x|| = 1\}$. If, for each $y \in S(E)$, the limit (1.1) is uniformly attained for $x \in S(E)$, then the norm of E is said to be uniformly Gâteaux differentiable. The norm of E is said to be Fréchet differentiable if, for each $x \in S(E)$, the limit (1.1) is attained uniformly for $y \in S(E)$. The norm of E is said to be uniformly Fréchet differentiable (or uniformly smooth) if the limit (1.1) is attained uniformly for $x, y \in S(E) \times S(E)$.

Let $\rho_E : [0,1) \rightarrow [0,1)$ be the modulus of smoothness of *E* defined by

$$\rho_E(t) = \sup\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\|x+y\| + \|x-y\|) - 1 : x \in S(E), \|y\| \le t\right\}.$$
(1.2)

A Banach space *E* is said to be uniformly smooth if $\rho_E(t)/t \to 0$ as $t \to 0$. Let q > 1. A Banach space *E* is said to be *q*-uniformly smooth, if there exists a fixed constant c > 0 such that $\rho_E(t) \le ct^q$. It is well known that *E* is uniformly smooth if and only if the norm of *E* is uniformly Fréchet differentiable. If *E* is *q*-uniformly smooth, then $q \le 2$ and *E* is uniformly smooth, and hence the norm of *E* is uniformly Fréchet differentiable. Typical examples of both uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces are L^p , where p > 1. More precisely, L^p is min $\{p, 2\}$ -uniformly smooth for every p > 1.

By a gauge we mean a continuous strictly increasing function φ defined $\mathbb{R}^+ := [0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \to \infty} \varphi(r) = \infty$. We associate with a gauge φ a (generally multivalued) duality map $J_{\varphi} : E \to E^*$ defined by

$$J_{\varphi}(x) = \left\{ x^* \in E^* : \langle x, x^* \rangle = \|x\|\varphi(\|x\|), \ \|x^*\| = \varphi(\|x\|) \right\}.$$
(1.3)

In particular, the duality mapping with gauge function $\varphi(t) = t^{q-1}$ denoted by J_q , is referred to the (generalized) duality mapping. The duality mapping with gauge function $\varphi(t) = t$ denoted by J, is referred to the normalized duality mapping. Browder [1] initiated the study J_{φ} . Set for $t \ge 0$

$$\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(r) dr.$$
(1.4)

Then it is known that $J_{\varphi}(x)$ is the subdifferential of the convex function $\Phi(\|\cdot\|)$ at x. It is well known that if E is smooth, then J_q is single valued, which is denoted by j_q .

The duality mapping J_q is said to be weakly sequentially continuous if the duality mapping J_q is single valued and for any $\{x_n\} \in E$ with $x_n \rightarrow x$, $J_q(x_n) \stackrel{*}{\rightarrow} J_q(x)$. Every l^p (1 space has a weakly sequentially continuous duality map with the gauge $<math>\varphi(t) = t^{p-1}$. Gossez and Lami Dozo [2] proved that a space with a weakly continuous duality mapping satisfies Opial's condition. Conversely, if a space satisfies Opial's condition and has a uniformly Gâteaux differentiable norm, then it has a weakly continuous duality mapping. We already know that in *q*-uniformly smooth Banach space, there exists a constant $C_q > 0$ such that

$$\|x+y\|^{q} \le \|x\|^{q} + q\langle y, J_{q}(x)\rangle + C_{q}\|y\|^{q},$$
(1.5)

for all $x, y \in E$.

Recall that a mapping *T* is said to be nonexpansive, if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \le \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

$$(1.6)$$

T is said to be a λ -strict pseudocontraction in the terminology of Browder and Petryshyn [3], if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\langle Tx - Ty, j_q(x - y) \rangle \le ||x - y||^q - \lambda ||(I - T)x - (I - T)y||^q,$$
 (1.7)

for every *x*, *y*, and *C* for some $j_q(x - y) \in J_q(x - y)$. It is clear that (1.7) is equivalent to the following:

$$\left\langle (I-T)x - (I-T)y, j_q(x-y) \right\rangle \ge \lambda \left\| (I-T)x - (I-T)y \right\|^q.$$

$$(1.8)$$

The following famous theorem is referred to as the Banach contraction principle.

Theorem 1.1 (Banach [4]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let f be a contraction on X, that is, there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq rd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then f has a unique fixed point.

Theorem 1.2 (Meir and Keeler [5]). Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and let ϕ be a Meir-Keeler contraction (MKC, for short) on X, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta$ implies $d(\phi(x), \phi(y)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then ϕ has a unique fixed point.

This theorem is one of generalizations of Theorem 1.1, because contractions are Meir-Keeler contractions.

In a smooth Banach space, we define an operator *A* is strongly positive if there exists a constant $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ with the property

$$\langle Ax, J(x) \rangle \ge \overline{\gamma} ||x||^2, \quad ||aI - bA|| = \sup_{||x|| \le 1} \{|\langle (aI - bA)x, J(x) \rangle| : a \in [0, 1], b \in [0, 1]\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where *I* is the identity mapping and *J* is the normalized duality mapping.

Attempts to modify the normal Mann's iteration method for nonexpansive mappings and λ -strictly pseudocontractions so that strong convergence is guaranteed have recently been made; see, for example, [6–11] and the references therein.

Kim and Xu [6] introduced the following iteration process:

$$x_1 = x \in C,$$

$$y_n = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) T x_n,$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n, \quad n \ge 0,$$

(1.10)

where *T* is a nonexpansive mapping of *C* into itself $u \in C$ is a given point. They proved the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.10) converges strongly to a fixed point of *T*, provided the control sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ satisfy appropriate conditions.

Hu and Cai [12] introduced the following iteration process:

$$x_{1} = x \in C,$$

$$y_{n} = P_{C} \left[\beta_{n} x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \eta_{i}^{(n)} T_{i} x_{n} \right],$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_{n} \gamma f(x_{n}) + \gamma_{n} x_{n} + \left[(1 - \gamma_{n}) I - \alpha_{n} A \right] y_{n}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(1.11)

where T_i is non-self- λ_i -strictly pseudocontraction, f is a contraction and A is a strong positive linear bounded operator in Banach space. They have proved, under certain appropriate assumptions on the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$, and $\{\beta_n\}$, that $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.11) converges strongly to a common fixed point of a finite family of λ_i -strictly pseudocontractions, which solves some variational inequality.

Question 1. Can Theorem 3.1 of Zhou [8], Theorem 2.2 of Hu and Cai [12] and so on be extended from finite λ_i -strictly pseudocontraction to infinite λ_i -strictly pseudocontraction?

Question 2. We know that the Meir-Keeler contraction (MKC, for short) is more general than the contraction. What happens if the contraction is replaced by the Meir-Keeler contraction?

The purpose of this paper is to give the affirmative answers to these questions mentioned above. In this paper we study a general iterative scheme as follows:

$$x_1 = x \in C$$
,

$$y_{n} = P_{C} \left[\beta_{n} x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i}^{(n)} T_{i} x_{n} \right],$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_{n} \gamma \phi(x_{n}) + \gamma_{n} x_{n} + \left[(1 - \gamma_{n}) I - \alpha_{n} A \right] y_{n}, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(1.12)

where T_n is non-self λ_n -strictly pseudocontraction, ϕ is a MKC contraction and A is a strong positive linear bounded operator in Banach space. Under certain appropriate assumptions on the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$, and $\{\mu_i^n\}$, that $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.12) converges strongly to a common fixed point of an infinite family of λ_i -strictly pseudocontractions, which solves some variational inequality.

2. Preliminaries

In order to prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [13]). Let $\{x_n\}$, $\{z_n\}$ be bounded sequences in a Banach space E and $\{\beta_n\}$ be a sequence in [0, 1] which satisfies the following condition: $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$. Suppose that $x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)x_n + \beta_n z_n$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (||z_{n+1} - z_n|| - ||x_{n+1} - x_n||) \le 0$. Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||z_n - x_n|| = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 (see Xu [14]). Assume that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that $\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \gamma_n)\alpha_n + \delta_n$, where γ_n is a sequence in (0, 1) and $\{\delta_n\}$ is a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that

(i)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty$$
,
(ii) $\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\delta_n / \gamma_n) \le 0 \text{ or } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [15] demiclosedness principle). Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space *E* which satisfies Opial's condition, and suppose $T : C \to E$ is nonexpansive. Then the mapping I - T is demiclosed at zero, that is, $x_n \to x$, $x_n - Tx_n \to 0$ implies x = Tx.

Lemma 2.4 (see [16, Lemmas 3.1, 3.3]). Let *E* be real smooth and strictly convex Banach space, and *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of *E* which is also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of *E*. Assume that $T : C \rightarrow E$ is a nonexpansive mapping and *P* is a sunny nonexpansive retraction of *E* onto *C*, then F(T) = F(PT).

Lemma 2.5 (see [17, Lemma 2.2]). Let *C* be a nonempty convex subset of a real *q*-uniformly smooth Banach space *E* and *T* : *C* \rightarrow *C* be a λ -strict pseudocontraction. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, we define $T_{\alpha}x = (1 - \alpha)x + \alpha Tx$. Then, as $\alpha \in (0, \mu]$, $\mu = \min\{1, \{q\lambda/C_q\}^{1/(q-1)}\}$, $T_{\alpha} : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive such that $F(T_{\alpha}) = F(T)$.

Lemma 2.6 (see [12, Remark 2.6]). When T is non-self-mapping, the Lemma 2.5 also holds.

Lemma 2.7 (see [12, Lemma 2.8]). Assume that A is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a smooth Banach space E with coefficient $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ and $0 < \rho \leq ||A||^{-1}$. Then,

$$\|I - \rho A\| \le 1 - \rho \overline{\gamma}. \tag{2.1}$$

Lemma 2.8 (see [18, Lemma 2.3]). Let ϕ be an MKC on a convex subset C of a Banach space E. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$\|x - y\| \ge \varepsilon \text{ implies } \|\phi x - \phi y\| \le r \|x - y\| \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

$$(2.2)$$

Lemma 2.9. Let *C* be a closed convex subset of a reflexive Banach space *E* which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J_q from *E* to E^* . Let $T : C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi : C \to C$ be a MKC, *A* is strongly positive linear bounded operator with coefficient $\overline{\gamma} > 0$. Assume that $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}$. Then the sequence $\{x_t\}$ define by $x_t = t\gamma\phi(x_t) + (1-tA)Tx_t$ converges strongly as $t \to 0$ to a fixed point \widetilde{x} of *T* which solves the variational inequality:

$$\langle (A - \gamma \phi) \widetilde{x}, J_q(\widetilde{x} - z) \rangle \le 0, \quad z \in F(T).$$
 (2.3)

Proof. The definition of $\{x_t\}$ is well definition. Indeed, from the definition of MKC, we can see MKC is also a nonexpansive mapping. Consider a mapping S_t on C defined by

$$S_t x = t \gamma \phi(x) + (I - tA)Tx, \quad x \in C.$$
(2.4)

It is easy to see that S_t is a contraction. Indeed, by Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_t x - S_t y\| &\leq t\gamma \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| + \|(I - tA)(Tx - Ty)\| \\ &\leq t\gamma \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| + (1 - t\overline{\gamma})\|x - y\| \\ &\leq t\gamma \|x - y\| + (1 - t\overline{\gamma})\|x - y\| \\ &\leq [1 - t(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma)]\|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5)$$

Hence, S_t has a unique fixed point, denoted by x_t , which uniquely solves the fixed point equation

$$x_t = t\gamma\phi(x_t) + (I - tA)Tx_t.$$
(2.6)

We next show the uniqueness of a solution of the variational inequality (2.3). Suppose both $\tilde{x} \in F(T)$ and $\hat{x} \in F(T)$ are solutions to (2.3), not lost generality, we may assume there is a number ε such that $\|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}\| \ge \varepsilon$. Then by Lemma 2.8, there is a number r such that $\|\phi \hat{x} - \phi \tilde{x}\| \le r \|\hat{x} - \tilde{x}\|$. From (2.3), we know

$$\langle (A - \gamma \phi) \tilde{x}, J_q(\tilde{x} - \hat{x}) \rangle \leq 0, \langle (A - \gamma \phi) \hat{x}, J_q(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0.$$

$$(2.7)$$

Adding up (2.7) gets

$$\langle (A - \gamma \phi) \hat{x} - (A - \gamma \phi) \tilde{x}, J_q(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}) \rangle \leq 0.$$
 (2.8)

Noticing that

$$\langle (A - \gamma \phi) \hat{x} - (A - \gamma \phi) \tilde{x}, J_q(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}) \rangle = \langle A(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}), J_q(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}) \rangle - \gamma \langle \phi \hat{x} - \phi \tilde{x}, J_q(\hat{x} - \tilde{x}) \rangle$$

$$\geq \overline{\gamma} \| \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \|^q - \gamma \| \phi \hat{x} - \phi \tilde{x} \| \| \| \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \|^{q-1}$$

$$\geq \overline{\gamma} \| \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \|^q - \gamma r \| \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \|^q$$

$$\geq (\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r) \| \hat{x} - \tilde{x} \|^q$$

$$\geq (\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r) \varepsilon^q$$

$$> 0.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Therefore $\hat{x} = \tilde{x}$ and the uniqueness is proved. Below, we use \tilde{x} to denote the unique solution of (2.3).

We observe that $\{x_t\}$ is bounded. Indeed, we may assume, with no loss of generality, $t < ||A||^{-1}$, for all $p \in F(T)$, fixed ε_1 , for each $t \in (0, 1)$.

Case 1 ($||x_t - p|| < \varepsilon_1$). In this case, we can see easily that { x_t } is bounded.

Case 2 ($||x_t - p|| \ge \varepsilon_1$). In this case, by Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, there is a number r_1 such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(x_{t}) - \phi(p)\| &< r_{1} \|x_{t} - p\|, \\ \|x_{t} - p\| &= \|t\gamma\phi(x_{t}) + (I - tA)Tx_{t} - p\| \\ &= \|t(\gamma\phi(x_{t}) - Ap) + (I - tA)(Tx_{t} - p)\| \\ &\leq t \|\gamma\phi(x_{t}) - Ap\| + (1 - t\overline{\gamma})\|(x_{t} - p)\| \\ &\leq t \|\gamma\phi(x_{t}) - \gamma\phi(p)\| + \|\gamma\phi(p) - Ap\| + (1 - t\overline{\gamma})\|x_{t} - p\| \\ &\leq t\gamma r_{1} \|x_{t} - p\| + t \|\gamma\phi(p) - Ap\| + (1 - t\overline{\gamma})\|x_{t} - p\|, \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

therefore, $||x_t - p|| \le ||\gamma \phi(p) - Ap|| / (\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r_1)$. This implies the $\{x_t\}$ is bounded.

To prove that $x_t \to \tilde{x} \ (\tilde{x} \in F(T))$ as $t \to 0$.

Since $\{x_t\}$ is bounded and *E* is reflexive, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{t_n}\}$ of $\{x_t\}$ such that $x_{t_n} \rightarrow x^*$. By $x_t - Tx_t = t(\gamma \phi(x_t) - ATx_t)$. We have $x_{t_n} - Tx_{t_n} \rightarrow 0$, as $t_n \rightarrow 0$. Since *E* satisfies Opial's condition, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that $x^* \in F(T)$. We claim

$$\|x_{t_n} - x^*\| \longrightarrow 0. \tag{2.11}$$

By contradiction, there is a number ε_0 and a subsequence $\{x_{t_m}\}$ of $\{x_{t_n}\}$ such that $||x_{t_m} - x^*|| \ge \varepsilon_0$. From Lemma 2.8, there is a number $r_{\varepsilon_0} > 0$ such that $||\phi(x_{t_m}) - \phi(x^*)|| \le r_{\varepsilon_0} ||x_{t_m} - x^*||$, we write

$$x_{t_m} - x^* = t_m (\gamma \phi(x_{t_m}) - Ax^*) + (I - t_m A)(Tx_{t_m} - x^*), \qquad (2.12)$$

to derive that

$$\|x_{t_m} - x^*\|^q = t_m \langle \gamma \phi(x_{t_m}) - Ax^*, J_q(x_{t_m} - x^*) \rangle + \langle (I - t_m A)(Tx_{t_m} - x^*), J_q(x_{t_m} - x^*) \rangle$$

$$\leq t_m \langle \gamma \phi(x_{t_m}) - Ax^*, J_q(x_{t_m} - x^*) \rangle + (1 - t_m \overline{\gamma}) \|x_{t_m} - x^*\|^q.$$
(2.13)

It follows that

$$\|x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}\|^{q} \leq \frac{1}{\overline{\gamma}} \langle \gamma \phi(x_{t_{m}}) - Ax^{*}, J_{q}(x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\overline{\gamma}} [\langle \gamma \phi(x_{t_{m}}) - \gamma \phi(x^{*}), J_{q}(x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}) \rangle + \langle \gamma \phi(x^{*}) - Ax^{*}, J_{q}(x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}) \rangle] \qquad (2.14)$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\overline{\gamma}} [\gamma r_{\varepsilon_{0}} \|x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}\|^{q} + \langle \gamma \phi(x^{*}) - Ax^{*}, J_{q}(x_{t_{m}} - x^{*}) \rangle].$$

Therefore,

$$\|x_{t_m} - x^*\|^q \le \frac{\langle \gamma \phi(x^*) - Ax^*, J_q(x_{t_m} - x^*) \rangle}{\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r_{\varepsilon_0}}.$$
(2.15)

Using that the duality map J_q is single valued and weakly sequentially continuous from E to E^* , by (2.15), we get that $x_{t_m} \to x^*$. It is a contradiction. Hence, we have $x_{t_n} \to x^*$. We next prove that x^* solves the variational inequality (2.3). Since

$$x_t = t\gamma\phi(x_t) + (I - tA)Tx_t, \qquad (2.16)$$

we derive that

$$(A - \gamma \phi)x_t = -\frac{1}{t}(I - tA)(I - T)x_t.$$
 (2.17)

Notice

$$\langle (I-T)x_t - (I-T)z, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle \geq ||x_t - z||^q - ||Tx_t - Tz|| ||x_t - z||^{q-1}$$

$$\geq ||x_t - z||^q - ||x_t - z||^q$$

$$= 0.$$
 (2.18)

It follows that, for $z \in F(T)$,

$$\langle (A - \gamma \phi) x_t, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle = -\frac{1}{t} \langle (I - tA)(I - T) x_t, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle$$

$$= -\frac{1}{t} \langle (I - T) x_t - (I - T) z, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle + \langle A(I - T) x_t, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle A(I - T) x_t, J_q(x_t - z) \rangle.$$

$$(2.19)$$

Now replacing *t* in (2.19) with t_n and letting $n \to \infty$, noticing $(I-T)x_{t_n} \to (I-T)x^* = 0$ for $x^* \in F(T)$, we obtain $\langle (A - \gamma \phi)x^*, J_q(x^* - z) \rangle \leq 0$. That is, $x^* \in F(T)$ is a solution of (2.3); Hence $\tilde{x} = x^*$ by uniqueness. In a summary, we have shown that each cluster point of $\{x_t\}$ (at $t \to 0$) equals \tilde{x} , therefore, $x_t \to \tilde{x}$ as $t \to 0$.

Lemma 2.10 (see, e.g., Mitrinović [19, page 63]). Let q > 1. Then the following inequality holds:

$$ab \le \frac{1}{q}a^q + \frac{q-1}{q}b^{q/(q-1)},$$
 (2.20)

for arbitrary positive real numbers *a*, *b*.

Lemma 2.11. Let *E* be a *q*-uniformly smooth Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J_q from *E* to E^* and *C* be a nonempty convex subset of *E*. Assume that $T_i : C \to E$ is a countable family of λ_i -strict pseudocontraction for some $0 < \lambda_i < 1$ and $\inf\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Assume that $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a positive sequence such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i = 1$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i : C \to E$ is a λ -strict pseudocontraction with $\lambda = \inf\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $F(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i) = F$.

Proof. Let

$$G_n x = \eta_1 T_1 x + \eta_2 T_2 x + \dots + \eta_n T_n x$$
(2.21)

and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \eta_i = 1$. Then, $G_n : C \to E$ is a λ_i -strict pseudocontraction with $\lambda = \min\{\lambda_i : 1 \le i \le n\}$. Indeed, we can firstly see the case of n = 2.

$$\langle (I - G_2)x - (I - G_2)y, J_q(x - y) \rangle = \langle \eta_1(I - T_1)x + \eta_2(I - T_2)x - \eta_1(I - T_1)y - \eta_2(I - T_2)y, J_q(x - y) \rangle = \eta_1 \langle (I - T_1)x - (I - T_1)y, J_q(x - y) \rangle + \eta_2 \langle (I - T_2)x - (I - T_2)y, J_q(x - y) \rangle \ge \eta_1 \lambda_1 \| (I - T_1)x - (I - T_1)y \|^q + \eta_2 \lambda_2 \| (I - T_2)x - (I - T_2)y \|^q \ge \lambda [\eta_1 \| (I - T_1)x - (I - T_1)y \|^q + \eta_2 \| (I - T_2)x - (I - T_2)y \|^q] \ge \lambda \| (I - G_2)x - (I - G_2)y \|^q,$$

$$(2.22)$$

which shows that $G_2 : C \to E$ is a λ -strict pseudocontraction with $\lambda = \min{\{\lambda_i : i = 1, 2\}}$. By the same way, our proof method easily carries over to the general finite case.

Next, we prove the infinite case. From the definition of λ -strict pseudocontraction, we know

$$\langle (I - T_n)x - (I - T_n)y, J_q(x - y) \rangle \ge \lambda || (I - T_n)x - (I - T_n)y ||^q.$$
 (2.23)

Hence, we can get

$$\|(I - T_n)x - (I - T_n)y\| \le \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \|x - y\|.$$
(2.24)

Taking $p \in F(T_n)$, from (2.24), we have

$$\|(I - T_n)x\| = \|(I - T_n)x - (I - T_n)p\| \le \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{1/(q-1)} \|x - p\|.$$
(2.25)

Consequently, for all $x \in E$, if $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$, $\eta_i > 0$ $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i = 1$, then $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i$ strongly converges. Let

$$Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i x, \qquad (2.26)$$

we have

$$Tx = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i x.$$
 (2.27)

Hence,

$$\langle (I-T)x - (I-T)y, J_q(x-y) \rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\langle \left(I - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i \right) x + \left(I - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i \right) y, J_q(x-y) \right\rangle$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \langle (I-T_i)x - (I-T_i)y, J_q(x-y) \rangle$$

$$\geq \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i \lambda \| (I-T_i)x - (I-T_i)y \|^q$$

$$\geq \lambda \lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \left(I - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i \right) x - \left(I - \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i} \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i T_i \right) y \right\|^q$$

$$= \lambda \| (I-T)x - (I-T)y \|^q.$$

$$(2.28)$$

So, we get *T* is λ -strict pseudocontraction.

Finally, we show $F(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i) = F$. Suppose that $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i x$, it is sufficient to show that $x \in F$. Indeed, for $p \in F$, we have

$$\|x-p\|^{q} = \langle x-p, J_{q}(x-p) \rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i} T_{i} x - p, J_{q}(x-p) \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i} \langle T_{i} x - p, J_{q}(x-p) \rangle$$

$$\leq \|x-p\|^{q} - \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_{i} \|x - T_{i} x\|^{q},$$
(2.29)

where $\lambda = \inf{\{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}}$. Hence, $x = T_i x$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, this means that $x \in F$.

3. Main Results

Lemma 3.1. Let *E* be a real *q*-uniformly smooth, strictly convex Banach space and *C* be a closed convex subset of *E* such that $C \pm C \subset C$. Let *C* be also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of *E*. Let $\phi : C \to C$ be a MKC. Let $A : C \to C$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator with the coefficient $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}$ and $T_i : C \to E$ be λ_i -strictly pseudo-contractive non-selfmapping such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda = \inf \{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of *C* generated by (1.12) with the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ in [0,1], assume for each n, $\{\eta_i^{(n)}\}$ be an infinity sequence of positive number such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i^{(n)} = 1$ for all n and $\eta_i^{(n)} > 0$. The following control conditions are satisfied

(i)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$$
, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$,

(ii) $1 - \alpha \le 1 - \beta_n \le \mu$, $\mu = \min \{1, \{q\lambda/C_q\}^{1/(q-1)}\}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and for all $n \ge 0$,

- (iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} (\beta_{n+1} \beta_n) = 0$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\eta_i^{n+1} \eta_i^n| = 0$,
- (iv) $0 < \lim \inf_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n < 1.$

Then, $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0$.

Proof. Write, for each $n \ge 0$, $B_n = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i^{(n)} T_i$. By Lemma 2.11, each B_n is a λ -strict pseudocontraction on C and $F(B_n) = F$ for all n and the algorithm (1.12) can be rewritten as

$$x_{1} = x \in C,$$

$$y_{n} = P_{C} [\beta_{n} x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) B_{n} x_{n}],$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_{n} \gamma \phi(x_{n}) + \gamma_{n} x_{n} + ((1 - \gamma_{n}) I - \alpha_{n} A) y_{n}, \quad n \ge 1.$$
(3.1)

The rest of the proof will now be split into two parts.

Step 1. First, we show that sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are bounded. Define a mapping

$$L_n x := P_C [\beta_n x + (1 - \beta_n) B_n x].$$
(3.2)

Then, from the control condition (ii), Lemmas 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain $L_n : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive. Taking a point $p \in F$, by Lemma 2.4, we can get $L_n p = p$. Hence, we have

$$\|y_n - p\| = \|L_n x_n - p\| \le \|x_n - p\|.$$
(3.3)

From definition of MKC and Lemma 2.8, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a number $r_{\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$, if $||x_n - z|| < \varepsilon$ then $||\phi(x_n) - \phi(z)|| < \varepsilon$; If $||x_n - z|| \ge \varepsilon$ then $||\phi(x_n) - \phi(z)|| \le r_{\varepsilon} ||x_n - z||$. It follow (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|\alpha_n \gamma \phi(x_n) + \gamma_n x_n + ((1 - \gamma_n)I - \alpha_n A)y_n - p\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n (\gamma \phi(x_n) - Ap) + \gamma_n (x_n - p) + ((1 - \gamma_n)I - \alpha_n A)(y_n - p)\| \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_n - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - Ap\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma \max\{r_\varepsilon \|x_n - p\|, \varepsilon\} + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\| \\ &= \max\{(1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma r_\varepsilon \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\|, \\ &\quad (1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \gamma \varepsilon + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\| \\ &= \max\{(1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma} + \alpha_n \gamma r_\varepsilon) \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\|, (1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma \varepsilon + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\| \\ &= \max\{[1 - (\alpha_n \overline{\gamma} - \alpha_n \gamma r_\varepsilon)] \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\|, (1 - \alpha_n \overline{\gamma}) \|x_n - p\| \\ &\quad + \alpha_n \gamma \varepsilon + \alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(p) - Ap\| \\ &\}. \end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$\|x_n - p\| \le \max\left\{\|x_0 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma\phi(p) - Ap\|}{\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r_{\varepsilon}}, \frac{\gamma\varepsilon + \|\gamma\phi(p) - Ap\|}{\overline{\gamma}}\right\}, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(3.5)

which gives that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, so are $\{y_n\}$ and $\{L_nx_n\}$.

Step 2. In this part, we shall claim that $||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \to 0$, as $n \to \infty$. From (3.1), we get

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma \phi(x_n) + \gamma_n x_n + \left[(1 - \gamma_n) I - \alpha_n A \right] L_n x_n.$$
(3.6)

Define

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n)l_n + \gamma_n x_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(3.7)

where

$$l_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - \gamma_n x_n}{1 - \gamma_n}.$$
 (3.8)

It follows that

$$l_{n+1} - l_n = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}\gamma\phi(x_{n+1}) + \gamma_{n+1}x_{n+1} + [(1 - \gamma_{n+1})I - \alpha_{n+1}A]L_{n+1}x_{n+1} - \gamma_{n+1}x_{n+1}}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n\gamma\phi(x_n) + \gamma_nx_n + [(1 - \gamma_n)I - \alpha_nA]L_nx_n - \gamma_nx_n}{1 - \gamma_n}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}[\gamma\phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1}x_{n+1}]}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} - \frac{\alpha_n[\gamma\phi(x_n) - AL_nx_n]}{1 - \gamma_n} + L_{n+1}x_{n+1} - L_nx_n,$$
(3.9)

which yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \|l_{n+1} - l_n\| &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \|\gamma \phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} + \frac{\alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - AL_n x_n\|}{1 - \gamma_n} + \|L_{n+1} x_{n+1} - L_n x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \|\gamma \phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} + \frac{\alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - AL_n x_n\|}{1 - \gamma_n} + \|L_{n+1} x_{n+1} - L_{n+1} x_n\| \\ &+ \|L_{n+1} x_n - L_n x_n\| \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \|\gamma \phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} + \frac{\alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - AL_n x_n\|}{1 - \gamma_n} + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &+ \|L_{n+1} x_n - L_n x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.10)$$

Next, we estimate $||L_{n+1}x_n - L_nx_n||$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_{n+1}x_n - L_nx_n\| &= \left\| P_C \left[\beta_{n+1}x_n + (1 - \beta_{n+1})B_{n+1}x_n \right] - P_C \left[\beta_nx_n + (1 - \beta_n)B_nx_n \right] \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \left[\beta_{n+1}x_n + (1 - \beta_{n+1})B_{n+1}x_n \right] - \left[\beta_nx_n + (1 - \beta_n)B_nx_n \right] \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| \beta_{n+1} - \beta_n \right\| \|x_n - B_{n+1}x_n\| + (1 - \beta_n) \|B_{n+1}x_n - B_nx_n\| \\ &\leq \left\| \beta_{n+1} - \beta_n \right\| \|x_n - B_{n+1}x_n\| + (1 - \beta_n) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left| \eta_i^{(n+1)} - \eta_i^{(n)} \right| \|T_ix_n\|. \end{aligned}$$
(3.11)

Substituting (3.11) into (3.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|l_{n+1} - l_n\| &\leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \|\gamma \phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} + \frac{\alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - AL_n x_n\|}{1 - \gamma_n} + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \\ &+ \|\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n\| \|x_n - B_{n+1} x_n\| + (1 - \beta_n) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left|\eta_i^{(n+1)} - \eta_i^{(n)}\right| \|T_i x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$
(3.12)

Hence, we have

$$\|l_{n+1} - l_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \le \frac{\alpha_{n+1} \|\gamma \phi(x_{n+1}) - AL_{n+1} x_{n+1}\|}{1 - \gamma_{n+1}} + \frac{\alpha_n \|\gamma \phi(x_n) - AL_n x_n\|}{1 - \gamma_n} + \|x_n - B_{n+1} x_n\| |\beta_{n+1} - \beta_n| + (1 - \beta_n) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\eta_i^{(n+1)} - \eta_i^{(n)}| \|T_i x_n\|.$$
(3.13)

Observing conditions (i), (iii), (iv), and the boundedness of $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{f(x_n)\}$, $\{T_nx_n\}$, $\{T_ny_n\}$ it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \{ \|l_{n+1} - l_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \} \le 0.$$
(3.14)

Thus by Lemma 2.1, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||l_n - x_n|| = 0$. From (3.7), we have

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = (1 - \gamma_n)(l_n - x_n). \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.16)

Theorem 3.2. Let *E* be a real *q*-uniformly smooth, strictly convex Banach space which admits a weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping J_q from *E* to E^* and *C* be a closed convex subset of *E* which be also a sunny nonexpansive retraction of *E* such that $C \pm C \subset C$. Let $\phi : C \to C$ be

a MKC. Let $A : C \to C$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator with the coefficient $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}$ and $T_i : C \to E$ be λ_i -strictly pseudo-contractive non-self-mapping such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda = \inf \{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of C generated by (1.12) with the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{\gamma_n\}$ in [0,1], assume for each n, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i^{(n)} = 1$ for all n and $\eta_i^{(n)} > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. They satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) of Lemma 3.1 and (v) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = \alpha, \lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\eta_i^n - \eta_i| = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{x} \in F$, which also solves the following variational inequality

$$\langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(p - \tilde{x}) \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in F.$$
 (3.17)

Proof. From (3.1), we obtain

$$\|L_{n}x_{n} - x_{n}\| \leq \|x_{n} - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - L_{n}x_{n}\|$$

$$= \|x_{n} - x_{n+1}\| + \|\alpha_{n}\gamma\phi(x_{n}) + \gamma_{n}(x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}) - \alpha_{n}AL_{n}x_{n}\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - x_{n+1}\| + \alpha_{n}(\|\gamma\phi(x_{n})\| + \|AL_{n}x_{n}\|) + \gamma_{n}\|x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}\|.$$
(3.18)

So $||L_n x_n - x_n|| \le 1/(1 - \gamma_n)(||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + \alpha_n(||\gamma \phi(x_n)|| + ||AL_n x_n||))$, which together with the condition (i), (iv) and Lemma 3.1 implies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|L_n x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.19)

Define $B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i T_i$, then $B : C \to E$ is a λ -strict pseudocontraction such that $F(B) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) = F$ by Lemma 2.11, furthermore $B_n x \to Bx$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $x \in C$. Defines $T : C \to E$ by

$$Tx = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)Bx. \tag{3.20}$$

Then, *T* is nonexpansive with F(T) = F(B) by Lemma 2.5. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $F(P_CT) = F(T) = F$. Notice that

$$\|P_{C}Tx_{n} - x_{n}\| \leq \|x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}\| + \|L_{n}x_{n} - P_{C}Tx_{n}\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}\| + \|\beta_{n}x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})B_{n}x_{n} - [\alpha x_{n} + (1 - \alpha)Bx_{n}]\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}\| + \|(\beta_{n} - \alpha)(x_{n} - B_{n}x_{n}) + (1 - \alpha)(B_{n}x_{n} - Bx_{n})\|$$

$$\leq \|x_{n} - L_{n}x_{n}\| + (\beta_{n} - \alpha)\|x_{n} - B_{n}x_{n}\| + (1 - \alpha)\|B_{n}x_{n} - Bx_{n}\|$$
(3.21)

which combines with (3.19) yielding that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|P_C T x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.22)

Next, we show that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(x_n - \tilde{x}) \rangle \le 0, \tag{3.23}$$

where $\tilde{x} = \lim_{t \to 0} x_t$ with x_t being the fixed point of the contraction

$$x \mapsto t\gamma \phi(x) + (1 - tA)P_CTx.$$
 (3.24)

To see this, we take a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\widetilde{x}) - A\widetilde{x}, J(x_n - \widetilde{x}) \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\widetilde{x}) - A\widetilde{x}, J(x_{n_k} - \widetilde{x}) \rangle.$$
(3.25)

We may also assume that $x_{n_k} \rightarrow q$. Note that $q \in F(T)$ in virtue of Lemma 2.3 and (3.22). It follow from the Lemma 2.9 and J_q is weak weakly sequentially continuous duality mapping that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(x_n - \tilde{x}) \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(x_{n_k} - \tilde{x}) \rangle$$

$$= \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(q - \tilde{x}) \rangle \le 0.$$
(3.26)

Hence, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_q(x_n - \tilde{x}) \rangle \le 0.$$
(3.27)

Finally, We show $||x_n - \tilde{x}|| \rightarrow 0$. By contradiction, there is a number ε_0 such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\| \ge \varepsilon_0. \tag{3.28}$$

Case 1. Fixed $\varepsilon_1 \ (\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0)$, if for some $n \ge N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||x_n - \tilde{x}|| \ge \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1$, and for the other $n \ge N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $||x_n - \tilde{x}|| < \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1$. Let

$$M_n = \frac{q \langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}) \rangle}{(\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1)^q}.$$
(3.29)

From (3.23), we know $\limsup_{n\to\infty} M_n \leq 0$. Hence, there is a number N, when n > N, we have $M_n \leq \overline{\gamma} - \gamma$. We extract a number $n_0 \geq N$ stastifying $||x_{n_0} - \widetilde{x}|| < \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1$, then we estimate $||x_{n_0+1} - \widetilde{x}||$.

$$\begin{split} \|x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} &= \|\alpha_{n_{0}}\gamma\phi(x_{n_{0}}) + \gamma_{n_{0}}x_{n_{0}} + [(1 - \gamma_{n_{0}})I - \alpha_{n_{0}}A]y_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} \\ &= \|[(1 - \gamma_{n_{0}})I - \alpha_{n_{0}}A](y_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}) + \alpha_{n_{0}}(\gamma\phi(x_{n_{0}}) - A\tilde{x}) + \gamma_{n_{0}}(x_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}))\|^{q} \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n_{0}})I - \alpha_{n_{0}}A](y_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}) + \alpha_{n_{0}}(\gamma\phi(x_{n_{0}}) - A\tilde{x}) + \gamma_{n_{0}}(x_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})) \rangle \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n_{0}})I - \alpha_{n_{0}}A](y_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})) + \langle \alpha_{n_{0}}(\gamma\phi(x_{n_{0}}) - A\tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})) \rangle \\ &+ \langle \gamma_{n_{0}}(x_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n_{0}})I - \alpha_{n_{0}}A](y_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})) + \alpha_{n_{0}}\gamma\langle\phi(x_{n_{0}}) - \phi(\tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})) \rangle \\ &+ \alpha_{n_{0}}\langle\gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}) \rangle + \langle \gamma_{n_{0}}(x_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})|^{q-1} \\ &+ \alpha_{n_{0}}\langle\gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}) \rangle + \gamma_{n_{0}}\|x_{n_{0}} - \tilde{x}\|\|x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} \\ &< [1 - \alpha_{n_{0}}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma)](\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})\|x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} + \alpha_{n_{0}}\langle\gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}) \rangle \\ &\leq \frac{1}{q} [1 - \alpha_{n_{0}}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma)]^{q}(\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})^{q} + \frac{q-1}{q}\|x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} \\ &+ \alpha_{n_{0}}\langle\gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \quad \text{by Lemma 2.10}, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_{0}+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^{q} &< \left[1 - \alpha_{n_{0}}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma)\right]^{q} (\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})^{q} + q \alpha_{n_{0}} \langle \gamma \phi(\widetilde{x}) - A \widetilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \widetilde{x}) \rangle \\ &< \left[1 - \alpha_{n_{0}}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma)\right] (\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})^{q} + q \alpha_{n_{0}} \langle \gamma \phi(\widetilde{x}) - A \widetilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n_{0}+1} - \widetilde{x}) \rangle \\ &= \left[1 - \alpha_{n_{0}}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma - M_{n})\right] (\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})^{q} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon_{0} - \varepsilon_{1})^{q}. \end{aligned}$$
(3.31)

Hence, we have

$$\|x_{n_0+1} - \widetilde{x}\| < \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1. \tag{3.32}$$

In the same way, we can get

$$\|x_n - \widetilde{x}\| < \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall n \ge n_0.$$
(3.33)

It contradict the $\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - \tilde{x}|| \ge \varepsilon_0$.

Case 2. Fixed ε_1 ($\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_0$), if $||x_n - \tilde{x}|| \ge \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1$ for all $n \ge N \in \mathbb{N}$, from Lemma 2.8, there is a number r, (0 < r < 1) such that

$$\left\|\phi(x_n) - \phi(\widetilde{x})\right\| \le r \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|, \quad n \ge N.$$
(3.34)

It follow (3.1) that

$$\begin{split} \|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} &= \|\alpha_{n}\gamma\phi(x_{n}) + \gamma_{n}x_{n} + [(1 - \gamma_{n})I - \alpha_{n}A]y_{n} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} \\ &= \|[(1 - \gamma_{n})I - \alpha_{n}A](y_{n} - \tilde{x}) + \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(x_{n}) - A\tilde{x}) + \gamma_{n}(x_{n} - \tilde{x})\|^{q} \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n})I - \alpha_{n}A](y_{n} - \tilde{x}) + \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(x_{n}) - A\tilde{x}) + \gamma_{n}(x_{n} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n})I - \alpha_{n}A](y_{n} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle + \langle \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(x_{n}) - A\tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &+ \langle \gamma_{n}(x_{n} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &= \langle [(1 - \gamma_{n})I - \alpha_{n}A](y_{n} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle + \langle \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(x_{n}) - \phi(\tilde{x})), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &+ \langle \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(\tilde{x} - A\tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle + \langle \gamma_{n}(x_{n} - \tilde{x}), J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma_{n} - \alpha_{n}\overline{\gamma})\|x_{n} - \tilde{x}\|\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} + \alpha_{n}\gamma r\|x_{n} - \tilde{x}\|\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} \\ &+ \alpha_{n}(\gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})) + \gamma_{n}\|x_{n} - \tilde{x}\|\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} \\ &= [1 - \alpha_{n}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r)]\|x_{n} - \tilde{x}\|\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q-1} + \alpha_{n}\langle \gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &\leq [1 - \alpha_{n}(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r)]\frac{1}{q}\|x_{n} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} + \frac{q-1}{q}\|x_{n+1} - \tilde{x}\|^{q} + \alpha_{n}\langle \gamma\phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \\ &\leq A\tilde{x}, J_{q}(x_{n+1} - \tilde{x})\rangle \quad \text{by Lemma 2.10}, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\|x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}\|^q \le \left[1 - \alpha_n \left(\overline{\gamma} - \gamma r\right)\right] \|x_n - \widetilde{x}\|^q + q\alpha_n \left\langle \gamma \phi(\widetilde{x}) - A\widetilde{x}, J_q(x_{n+1} - \widetilde{x}) \right\rangle.$$
(3.36)

Apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.36) to conclude $x_n \to \tilde{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. It contradict the $||x_n - \tilde{x}|| \ge \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_1$. This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.3. Let *D* be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space *H* such that $D \pm D \subset D$ and $f \in D$ with the coefficient $0 < \alpha < 1$. Let $A : C \to C$ be a strongly positive linear bounded operator with the coefficient $\overline{\gamma} > 0$ such that $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}$ and $T_i : C \to E$ be λ_i -strictly pseudo-contractive non-self-mapping such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda = \inf \{\lambda_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of *C* generated by (1.12) with the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, and \{\gamma_n\}$ in [0, 1], assume for each $n, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i^{(n)} = 1$ for all n and $\eta_i^{(n)} > 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. They satisfy the conditions (i), (ii), (iv) of Lemma 3.1 and (v)

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta_n = \alpha$, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |\eta_i^n - \eta_i| = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \eta_i = 1$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $\tilde{x} \in F$, which also solves the following variational inequality

$$\langle \gamma \phi(\tilde{x}) - A\tilde{x}, p - \tilde{x} \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall p \in F.$$
 (3.37)

Remark 3.4. We conclude the paper with the following observations.

- (i) Theorem 3.2 improve and extends Theorem 3.1 of Zhang and Su [17], Theorem 1 of Yao et al. [11], and Theorem 2.2 of Cai and Hu [12]. Corollary 3.3 also improve and extend Theorem 2.1 of Choa et al. [20], Theorem 2.1 of Jung [21], Theorem 2.1 of Qin et al. [22] and includes those results as special cases. Especially, Our results extends above results form contractions to more general Meir-Keeler contraction (MKC, for short). Our iterative scheme studied in present paper can be viewed as a refinement and modification of the iterative methods in [12, 13, 17, 22]. On the other hand, our iterative schemes concern an infinite countable family of λ_i -strict pseudocontractions mappings, in this respect, they can be viewed as an another improvement.
- (ii) The advantage of the results in this paper is that less restrictions on the parameters $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\eta_i^n\}$ are imposed. Our results unify many recent results including the results in [12, 17, 22].
- (iii) It is worth noting that we obtained two strong convergence results concerning an infinite countable family of λ_i -strict pseudocontractions mappings. Our result is new and the proofs are simple and different from those in [11, 12, 17, 19–25].

Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely grateful to the referee and the editor for their useful comments and suggestions which helped to improve this paper. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant (no. 10771175).

References

- F. E. Browder, "Convergence theorems for sequences of nonlinear operators in Banach spaces," Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 100, pp. 201–225, 1967.
- [2] J.-P. Gossez and E. Lami Dozo, "Some geometric properties related to the fixed point theory for nonexpansive mappings," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 40, pp. 565–573, 1972.
- [3] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, "Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 20, pp. 197–228, 1967.
- [4] S. Banach, "Surles ope'rations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux e'quations inte'grales," Fundamenta Mathematicae, vol. 3, pp. 133–181, 1922.
- [5] A. Meir and E. Keeler, "A theorem on contraction mappings," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 28, pp. 326–329, 1969.
- [6] T.-H. Kim and H.-K. Xu, "Strong convergence of modified Mann iterations," Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 61, no. 1-2, pp. 51–60, 2005.
- [7] K. Nakajo and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and nonexpansive semigroups," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 279, no. 2, pp. 372– 379, 2003.
- [8] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems of fixed points for κ-strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 456–462, 2008.

- [9] X. Qin and Y. Su, "Approximation of a zero point of accretive operator in Banach spaces," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 329, no. 1, pp. 415–424, 2007.
- [10] G. Marino and Hong-Kun Xu, "Weak and strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 329, no. 1, pp. 336–346, 2007.
- [11] Y. Yao, R. Chen, and J.-C. Yao, "Strong convergence and certain control conditions for modified Mann iteration," Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1687–1693, 2008.
- [12] G. Cai and C. S. Hu, "Strong convergence theorems of a general iterative process for a finite family of λ_i -strict pseudo-contractions in *q*-uniformly smooth Banach spaces," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 149–160, 2010.
- [13] T. Suzuki, "Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 305, no. 1, pp. 227–239, 2005.
- [14] H. K. Xu, "An iterative approach to quadratic optimization," Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, vol. 116, no. 3, pp. 659–678, 2003.
- [15] J. S. Jung, "Iterative approaches to common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 302, no. 2, pp. 509–520, 2005.
- [16] S.-Y. Matsushita and W. Takahashi, "Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive nonselfmappings without boundary conditions," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 412–419, 2008.
- [17] H. Zhang and Y. Su, "Strong convergence theorems for strict pseudo-contractions in q-uniformly smooth Banach spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 3236– 3242, 2009.
- [18] T. Suzuki, "Moudafi's viscosity approximations with Meir-Keeler contractions," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 342–352, 2007.
- [19] D. S. Mitrinović, Analytic Inequalities, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1970.
- [20] Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang, and X. Qin, "Some results on k-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces," Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 1956–1964, 2009.
- [21] J. S. Jung, "Strong convergence of iterative methods for k-strictly pseudo-contractive mappings in Hilbert spaces," Applied Mathematics and Computation, vol. 215, no. 10, pp. 3746–3753, 2010.
- [22] X. Qin, M. Shang, and S. M. Kang, "Strong convergence theorems of modified Mann iterative process for strict pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 70, no. 3, pp. 1257–1264, 2009.
- [23] A. Moudafi, "Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems," Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 46–55, 2000.
- [24] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2006.
- [25] H. Zhou, "Convergence theorems of fixed points for Lipschitz pseudo-contractions in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 343, no. 1, pp. 546–556, 2008.