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#### Abstract

Every continuous function of the Alexandroff-Urysohn Square into itself has a fixed point. This follows from G. S. Young's general theorem (1946) that establishes the fixed-point property for every arcwise connected Hausdorff space in which each monotone increasing sequence of arcs is contained in an arc. Here we give a short proof based on the structure of the Alexandroff-Urysohn Square.
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Alexandroff and Urysohn [1] in Mémoire sur les espaces topologiques compacts defined a variety of important examples in general topology. The final manuscript for this classical paper was prepared in 1923 by Alexandroff shortly after the death of Urysohn. On [1, page 15], Alexandroff denoted a certain space by $U_{1}$. While Steen and Seebach in Counterexamples in Topology [2, Example 101] refer to this space as the Alexandroff Square, we concur with Cameron [3, pages 791-792], who attributes it to Urysohn. Hence we refer to $U_{1}$ as the Alexandroff-Urysohn Square and for convenience denote it by ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ). The following definition of $(X, \tau)$ is given by Steen and Seebach [2, Example 101, pages 120-121]. Define $X$ to be the closed unit square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$ with the topology $\tau$ defined by taking as a neighborhood basis of each point ( $s, t$ ) off the diagonal $\Delta=\{(x, x) \in X \mid x \in[0,1]\}$ the intersection of $X \backslash \Delta$ with open vertical line segments centered at ( $s, t$ ) (e.g., $N_{\epsilon}(s, t)=\{(s, y) \in X \backslash \Delta| | t-y \mid<\epsilon\}$ ). Neighborhoods of each point $(s, s) \in \Delta$ are the intersection with $X$ of open horizontal strips less a finite number of vertical lines (e.g., $M_{\epsilon}(s, s)=\{(x, y) \in X| | y-s \mid<\epsilon$ and $\left.x \neq x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ ). Note ( $\mathrm{X}, \tau$ ) is not first countable, and therefore not metrizable. However, (X, $\tau$ ) is a compact arcwise-connected Hausdorff space [2].

In Young's paper [4] of 1946, local connectivity is introduced on a space by a change of topology with consequent implications on generalized dendrites. A non-specialist may not notice that the fixed-point property for the Alexandroff-Urysohn Square follows from a result in Young's paper. We offer the following short proof based on the structure of
the Alexandroff-Urysohn Square. The proof is direct and uses a dog-chases-rabbit argument [5, page 123-125]; first having the dog run up the diagonal, and then up (or down) a vertical fiber. The Alexandroff-Urysohn Square is a Hausdorff dendroid. For a dog-chases-rabbit argument that metric dendroids have the fixed point property, see [6], and also see [7].

Definition 1. A set $U$ in $(X, \tau)$ is an ordered segment if $U$ is a connected vertical linear neighborhood or $U$ is a component of the intersection of $\Delta$ and a horizontal strip neighborhood.

Note the relative topology induced on each ordered segment by $\tau$ is the Euclidean topology. Each point of $(X, \tau)$ is contained in arbitrarily small ordered segments.

Let $\pi_{1}:(X, \tau) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the function defined by $\pi_{1}(x, y)=x$. Since each neighborhood in $(X, \tau)$ of a point of $\Delta$ is projected by $\pi_{1}$ onto the complement of a finite set in $[0,1]$, the function $\pi_{1}$ is discontinuous at each point of $\Delta$.

Let $\pi_{2}:(X, \tau) \rightarrow[0,1]$ be the function defined by $\pi_{2}(x, y)=y$. Note $\pi_{2}$ is continuous.
Lemma 2. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(X, \tau)$ be a continuous function. Let $p=(x, x)$ be a point of $\Delta$. If $\pi_{1} f(p) \neq x$, then there is an ordered segment $U$ containing $p$ such that $\pi_{1} f(U)$ is in one component of $[0,1] \backslash \pi_{1}(U)$.

Proof. Suppose $\pi_{1} f(p) \neq x$. We consider two cases.
Case 1. Assume $f(p) \notin \Delta$. Let $V$ be a vertical ordered segment containing $f(p)$.
Since $p \in \Delta$ and $f$ is continuous, there is a horizontal strip neighborhood $H$ in $(X, \tau)$ of $p$ such that $\pi_{1}(V) \notin \pi_{1}(H \cap \Delta)$ and $f(H) \subset V$. Let $U$ be the $p$-component of $H \cap \Delta$. Note $U$ is an ordered segment containing $p$ and $f(U) \subset V$. The point $\pi_{1} f(U)$ is contained in one component of $[0,1] \backslash \pi_{1}(U)$.

Case 2. Assume $f(p) \in \Delta$. Let $K$ be a horizontal strip neighborhood in $(X, \tau)$ of $f(p)$ such that $x \notin \pi_{1}(K \cap \Delta)$ and $K \cap \Delta$ is connected. Let $L$ be the $f(p)$-component of $K$. Note $L$ is a square set with diagonal $K \cap \Delta$.

Let $H$ be a horizontal strip neighborhood in $(X, \tau)$ of $p$ such that $H \cap K=\emptyset$ and $f(H) \subset K$. Let $U$ be the ordered segment that is the $p$-component of $H \cap \Delta$. Note $f(U)$ is a connected subset of $L$ and $\pi_{1}(U) \cap \pi_{1}(L)=\emptyset$. Hence $\pi_{1} f(U)$ is in one component of $[0,1] \backslash \pi_{1}(U)$. This completes the proof of our lemma.

Theorem 3. The Alexandroff-Urysohn Square $(X, \tau)$ has the fixed-point property.
Proof. Let $f:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(X, \tau)$ be a continuous function. We will show there exists a point of $(X, \tau)$ that is not moved by $f$.

Let $B=\left\{x \in[0,1] \mid \pi_{1} f(x, x) \geq x\right\}$. Note $0 \in B$. Let $b$ be the least upper bound of $B$.
Note $\pi_{1} f(b, b)=b$. To see this assume $\pi_{1} f(b, b) \neq b$. Then, by the lemma, there is an ordered segment $U$ in $\Delta$ containing $(b, b)$ such that $\pi_{1} f(U)$ is in one component of $[0,1] \backslash$ $\pi_{1}(U)$. However since $b$ is the least upper bound of $B$, there exist points $a$ and $c$ in $\pi_{1}(U)$ such that $\pi_{1} f(a, a) \geq a$ and $\pi_{1} f(c, c)<c$, a contradiction. Hence, $\pi_{1} f(b, b)=b$.

If $\pi_{2} f(b, b)=b$, then $f(b, b)=(b, b)$ as desired.
If $\pi_{2} f(b, b) \neq b$, then either $\pi_{2} f(b, b)>b$ or $\pi_{2} f(b, b)<b$. Assume without loss of generality that $\pi_{2} f(b, b)>b$.

Let $I$ denote the interval $\{b\} \times[b, 1]$.

Let $r:(X, \tau) \rightarrow(X, \tau)$ be the function defined by $r(p)=p$ if $p \in I$ and $r(p)=(b, b)$ if $p \notin I$.

Note $\{b\} \times(b, 1]$ is an open and closed subset of $X \backslash\{(b, b)\}$. It follows that $r$ is continuous. Thus, $r$ is a retraction of $(X, \tau)$ to $I$.

Let $\widehat{f}$ be the restriction of $f$ to $I$. Since $r \widehat{f}$ is a continuous function of the interval $I$ into itself, there is a point $(b, d) \in I$ such that $r \widehat{f}(b, d)=(b, d)$.

Since every point of $I$ that is sent into $X \backslash I$ by $f$ is moved by $r \widehat{f}$, it follows that $f(b, d) \in$ $I$. Hence $f(b, d)=r \widehat{f}(b, d)=(b, d)$.
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